

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/658,675	09/10/2003	Scott Sutherland	109136.125US1	2225	
24395	7590 03/08/2005		EXAMINER		
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP THE WILLARD OFFICE BUILDING			ENGLE, PATRICIA LYNN		
	SYLVANIA AVE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			3612		
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
			8,675 SUTHERLAND, SCOT		. 4
Office Action Summary		Exami	ner	Art Unit	
		Patrici	a L Engle	3612	
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	•
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum so tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n nunication. 30) days, a reply within the latutory period will apply ar y will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a rep statutory minimum of thirty nd will expire SIX (6) MONTI application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communica NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>19 January 2</u>	<u>2005</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i	is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract		•	• •	is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 10 Septemb Applicant may not request that any objected the Carlo of the Oath or declaration is objected the The Oath or declaration is objected to	er 2003 is/are: a) cection to the drawing of the correction is re-	(s) be held in abeyand quired if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have documents have of the priority document onal Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Ap uments have been r Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachmen	it(s)	•	_		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date			ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The term "add-on parts" in claim 15 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "add-on parts" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The claim does not indicate what the add-on parts would be. The specification does not provide a clear description of what is meant by add-on parts. The specification states that "reflectors or other add-on components" can be fixed to the bumper. What are the other add-on components? Is the reflector the add-on component? If so, is it the only add on component?

Application/Control Number: 10/658,675 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-8, 11, 12, 16, 18, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Allen et al. (US 2002/0074764, filed on May 18, 2001).

Regarding claim 1, Allen et al. disclose a bicycle trailer with a chassis formed of at least one frame part (18), the bicycle trailer comprising: at least one bumper (120) which is positioned on the outside of said at least one frame part (18) of the chassis and projects past the outside of said at least one frame part (18) at least on a front end of the chassis (see Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 2, Allen et al. disclose the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (120) has a greater outer diameter dimension than said at least one frame part (18) (the bumper must have a greater outer diameter than the frame because the bumper surrounds the frame part as seen in Fig. 1 and Fig. 15).

Regarding claim 3, Allen et al. disclose the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (120) projects past at least one of an edge (Fig. 1) and an end of said at least one frame part (18).

Regarding claims 4, 5 and 6, Allen et al. disclose the bicycle trailer according to claims 1, 2 and 3, wherein said at least one bumper (120) extends sideways past the chassis (Fig. 1) far

١

enough to at least partially cover one of a front space (Fig. 1) and a rear space between a wheel and the chassis.

Regarding claim 7, Allen et al. disclose the bicycle trailer according claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (120) is hollow (see Fig. 15).

Regarding claim 8, Allen et al. disclose the bicycle trailer according claim 7, wherein said at least one bumper is formed as a tube. A tube is defined as "A hollow cylinder, especially one that conveys a fluid or functions as a passage. 1" The bumper of Allen et al. includes a hollow cylinder (124 and 126) which act as passages (for frame 18 and tow bar 16), therefore the bumper is formed as a tube.

Regarding claim 11, Allen et al. disclose the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (120) is positioned in a front area (Fig. 1) of the chassis and said at least one bumper (120) is formed to hold a drawbar (16) for the bicycle trailer.

Regarding claim 12, Allen et al. disclose the bicycle trailer according to claim 11, wherein the drawbar (16) is detachably connected (via 128, 130 and 132) to said at least one bumper (120).

Regarding claim 16, Allen et al. disclose the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (120) comprises a plurality of bumpers (120) positioned for protecting one of a front comer (50), a rear comer, and both a front and rear corner of said at least one frame part (18).

¹ The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation; further reproduction and distribution restricted in accordance with the Copyright Law of the United States. All rights reserved.

Regarding claim 18, Allen et al. disclose the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (120) is positioned at about the height of a wheel hub (92) of the bicycle trailer (10).

Regarding claim 19, Allen et al. disclose a bicycle trailer comprising: a chassis (18) having at least one frame part (18), and at least one bumper (120) positioned on the outside of said at least one frame part (18) of the chassis, said at least one bumper (120) projecting past the outside (Fig. 1) of said at least one frame part (18) at least on a front end of the chassis.

Regarding claim 21, Allen et al. disclose the bicycle trailer of claim 1, wherein the at least one bumper (120) further projects past the outside of the frame on each side of the chassis ahead of any wheels on the chassis.

7. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10-12, 16, 18 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chiu (US Patent 6,053,518).

Regarding claim 1, Chiu discloses a bicycle trailer with a chassis formed of at least one frame part (202), the bicycle trailer comprising: at least one bumper (20 in Fig. 4) which is positioned on the outside of said at least one frame part (21,201,202) of the chassis and projects past the outside of said at least one frame part (202) at least on a front end of the chassis (see Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 3, Chiu discloses the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (20) projects past at least one of an edge (Fig. 4) and an end of said at least one frame part (202).

Regarding claims 4 and 6, Chiu discloses the bicycle trailer according to claims 1 and 3, wherein said at least one bumper (20) extends sideways past the chassis (Fig. 1) far enough to at

Application/Control Number: 10/658,675

Art Unit: 3612

least partially cover one of a front space (23) and a rear space between a wheel (40) and the chassis (21).

Regarding claim 7, Chiu discloses the bicycle trailer according claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (20) is hollow (see Fig. 15).

Regarding claim 8, Chiu discloses the bicycle trailer according claim 7, wherein said at least one bumper is formed as a tube.

Regarding claim 10, Chiu discloses the bicycle 'trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (20) takes on a weight-bearing function of the chassis (since the bumper 20 extends outside of frame part 21 along the entire length of the trailer, it is inherent that it takes on a weight bearing function).

Regarding claim 11, Chiu discloses the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (20) is positioned in a front area (Fig. 4) of the chassis and said at least one bumper (20) is formed to hold (via 24) a drawbar (30) for the bicycle trailer.

Regarding claim 12, Chiu discloses the bicycle trailer according to claim 11, wherein the drawbar (30) is detachably connected (via 24) to said at least one bumper (20).

Regarding claim 16, Chiu discloses the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (20) comprises a plurality of bumpers (20) positioned for protecting one of a front corner, a rear corner, and both a front and rear corner (Fig. 4) of said at least one frame part (201,21).

Regarding claim 18, Chiu discloses the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (20) is positioned at about the height of a wheel hub (Fig. 6) of the bicycle trailer.

Regarding claim 19, Chiu disclose a bicycle trailer comprising: a chassis having at least one frame part (21,201,202), and at least one bumper (20) positioned on the outside of said at least one frame part (21) of the chassis, said at least one bumper (20) projecting past the outside (Fig. 4) of said at least one frame part (21) at least on a front end of the chassis.

Regarding claim 20, Chiu discloses the bicycle trailer of claim 1, wherein the at least one bumper (20) extends from a front end of the chassis around to a side of the chassis ahead of a wheel on the chassis.

Regarding claim 21, Chiu discloses the bicycle trailer of claim 1, wherein the at least one bumper (20) further projects past the outside of the frame on each side of the chassis ahead of any wheels on the chassis.

Regarding claim 22, Chiu discloses the bicycle trailer of claim 1, wherein the at least one bumper (20) further extends from a rear end of the chassis.

8. Claims 1-10, 16, 17 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koch (US Patent 6,585,285).

Regarding claims 1 and 19, Koch discloses a bicycle trailer with a chassis formed of at least one frame part (18), the bicycle trailer comprising: at least one bumper (30) which is positioned on the outside of said at least one frame part (18) of the chassis and projects past the outside of said at least one frame part (18) at least on a front end of the chassis (see Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 2, Koch discloses the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (30) has a greater outer diameter dimension than said at least one frame part (18) (the bumper must have a greater outer diameter than the frame because the bumper surrounds the frame part as seen in Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 3, Koch discloses the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (30) projects past at least one of an edge (Fig. 1) and an end of said at least one frame part (18).

Regarding claims 4, 5 and 6, Koch discloses the bicycle trailer according to claims 1, 2 and 3, wherein said at least one bumper (30) extends sideways past the chassis (Fig. 1) far enough to at least partially cover one of a front space (Fig. 1) and a rear space between a wheel and the chassis.

Regarding claim 7, Koch discloses the bicycle trailer according claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (30) is hollow (see Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 8, Koch discloses the bicycle trailer according claim 7, wherein said at least one bumper is formed as a tube.

Regarding claim 9, Koch discloses the bicycle trailer according to claim 8, wherein at least one of the ends of said at least one bumper (30) is capped with a plug (91).

Regarding claim 10, Koch discloses the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (30) takes on a weight-bearing function (91) of the chassis.

Regarding claim 16, Koch discloses the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein said at least one bumper (30) comprises a plurality of bumpers (30,40) positioned for protecting one of a front corner (30), a rear corner (40), and both a front and rear corner of said at least one frame part (18).

Regarding claim 17, Koch discloses the bicycle trailer according to claim 1, wherein at least one bumper (30) is connected to said at least one frame part by a detachable connection (32).

Regarding claim 20, Koch discloses the bicycle trailer of claim 1, wherein the at least one bumper (30) extends from a front end of the chassis around to a side of the chassis ahead of a wheel (103) on the chassis.

Regarding claim 21, Koch discloses the bicycle trailer of claim 1, wherein the at least one bumper (30) further projects past the outside of the frame on each side of the chassis ahead of any wheels on the chassis.

Regarding claim 22, Koch discloses the bicycle trailer of claim 1, wherein the at least one bumper (40) further extends from a rear end of the chassis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 9, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allen et al.

Regarding claim 9, Allen et al. do not disclose that one of the ends includes a plug. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a plug at the rear end of passage 126. The motivation would have been to provide an easy method of aligning the holes so that pin 128 could be inserted to lock the tow bar into the bumper.

Regarding claim 15, Allen et al. disclose the bicycle trailer of claim 1. Allen et al. do not disclose that the bumper includes add-on parts. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include reflector strips on the bumper. The motivation would have been to make the trailer visible when the bicycle trailer was being used at night.

11. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch in view of Brown (US Patent 4,674,933).

Koch discloses the trailer of claims 1 and 7.

Koch does not disclose that the bumper is that the bumper includes a storage area (claims 13 and 14).

Brown discloses a bumper which is formed as a hollow tube which includes a plug on one end (57) in which the plug (57) acts as a storage compartment for tools.

Koch and Brown are analogous art because they are from a similar field of endeavor, i.e., bumpers which are used for additional purposes.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the bumper a tubular member which was hollow and included a plug and a storage compartment.

The motivation would have been to have a bumper which provided protection for the trailer and also allowed tools to be stored so that any tools required to work on a bike mounted on mount 91 would be readily available.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Brown with Koch to obtain the invention as specified in claims 13 and 14.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,675 Page 11

Art Unit: 3612

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 19, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that Allen et al. do not disclose that the bumper is on a front end of the chassis. The Examiner disagrees, the front end of the chassis would be anything in front of the half way point of the chassis. Applicant argues that Chiu fails to disclose a bumper that projects past the frame. A bumper is defined as "A protective device for absorbing shocks or impeding contact.2" The outer portion of Chiu protects the trailer from impending contact and is therefore considered a bumper.

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-9, 13 and 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

²The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation; further reproduction

Application/Control Number: 10/658,675 Page 12

Art Unit: 3612

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L Engle whose telephone number is (703) 306-5777. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 to 4:30. After April 5, 2005 the Examiner can be reached at 571-272-6660.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L Engle Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

ple March 4, 2005